

THE COMMENSURATOR OF A COCOMPACT LATTICE IN THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A REGULAR TREE IS NOT VIRTUALLY SIMPLE

ABSTRACT. We show that the commensurator of a cocompact lattice in the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(T_k)$ of a regular tree T_k is not virtually simple.

Let $k \geq 3$, and T_k the k -regular tree. We denote by $\text{Aut}(T_k)$ the group of automorphisms of the tree T_k . With its natural topology, $\text{Aut}(T_k)$ is a totally disconnected locally compact group.

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a cocompact lattice in $G = \text{Aut}(T_k)$. Then the commensurator $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ of Γ in G is not virtually simple. More precisely, $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ admits a proper quotient with infinite order elements.*

For Γ, G as in the theorem, the subgroup $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ is a dense subgroup of G [BK90, LMZ94]. The question whether the type preserving index two subgroup of $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ is simple had been raised by Lubotzky–Mozes–Zimmer [LMZ94]. See Section 2 for previous results regarding this question.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Nir Lazarovich for a comment suggesting that the considerations in Theorem 5.5 in [BELB⁺25] shall probably lead to non-simplicity of the group $\text{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$.

1. PRELIMINARIES ON ABSTRACT COMMENSURATORS

Let A be a finite index subnormal subgroup of a group Γ . We denote by $CF(\Gamma; A)$ the set of isomorphism classes of composition factors appearing in some (equivalently, any) composition series which starts from A and ends with Γ [BELB⁺25]. Each composition factor appears with a certain multiplicity; and $CF(\Gamma; A)$ takes into account these multiplicities.

Lemma 2. *Let A, B, C, D be finite index subnormal subgroups of Γ , and suppose $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ and $\psi : C \rightarrow D$ are isomorphisms. Suppose $CF(\Gamma; A) = CF(\Gamma; B)$, and suppose $[\varphi] = [\psi]$ in the commensurator $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ of Γ . Then $CF(\Gamma; C) = CF(\Gamma; D)$.*

Proof. Take E a finite index subgroup of $A \cap C$ on which φ and ψ coincide (actually we will only use that $\varphi(E)$ is equal to $\psi(E)$). Upon passing to a smaller subgroup one can assume E is normal in Γ . Set $F := \varphi(E) = \psi(E)$. Since E is normal in A , F is normal in B . So F is subnormal in Γ . One has $CF(\Gamma; E) = CF(\Gamma; A) \sqcup CF(A; E)$ and $CF(\Gamma; F) = CF(\Gamma; B) \sqcup CF(B; F)$. Using $CF(\Gamma; A) = CF(\Gamma; B)$ and $CF(A; E) = CF(B; F)$ (since φ is an isomorphism between A and B that sends E to F), we infer $CF(\Gamma; E) = CF(\Gamma; F)$. This can be rewritten as $CF(\Gamma; C) \sqcup CF(C; E) = CF(\Gamma; D) \sqcup CF(D; F)$. Now ψ is an isomorphism between C and D that sends E to F , so $CF(C; E) = CF(D; F)$. Combined with the previous equality, this yields

$CF(\Gamma; C) \sqcup CF(C; E) = CF(\Gamma; D) \sqcup CF(C; E)$. Removing $CF(C; E)$ in this equality is allowed, and yields $CF(\Gamma; C) = CF(\Gamma; D)$. \square

We recall two definitions from [BELB⁺25]:

- For each finite index subgroup H of Γ , there is a natural homomorphism $\text{Aut}(H) \rightarrow \text{Comm}(\Gamma)$, and $\text{AComm}(\Gamma)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ generated by the images of $\text{Aut}(H) \rightarrow \text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ when H ranges over finite index subgroups of Γ .
- $\text{Comm}_{SN}(\Gamma)$ is the set of elements of $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ which can be represented by an isomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that A and B are finite index subnormal subgroups of Γ such that $CF(\Gamma; A) = CF(\Gamma; B)$.

[BELB⁺25, Lemma 2.22] ensures $\text{AComm}(\Gamma)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ provided Γ is finitely generated. And [BELB⁺25, Theorem 5.5] shows that

- (1) $\text{Comm}_{SN}(\Gamma)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$.
- (2) $\text{AComm}(\Gamma)$ is contained in $\text{Comm}_{SN}(\Gamma)$ provided Γ is finitely generated.

If A, B are finite index subnormal subgroups of a group Γ , we write $CF(\Gamma; A) \preceq CF(\Gamma; B)$ if every composition factor appearing in $CF(\Gamma; A)$ also appears in $CF(\Gamma; B)$. This definition only asks that composition factors appear; it does not take multiplicities into account. We say that $CF(\Gamma; A)$ and $CF(\Gamma; B)$ are equivalent if $CF(\Gamma; A) \preceq CF(\Gamma; B)$ and $CF(\Gamma; B) \preceq CF(\Gamma; A)$.

Proposition 3. *Let Γ be a finitely generated group. Let A, B be finite index subnormal subgroups of Γ , and suppose $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism. If $CF(\Gamma; A)$ and $CF(\Gamma; B)$ are not equivalent, then the element $[\varphi]$ of $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ has infinite order in the quotient $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)/\text{AComm}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. We define inductively a sequence (A_n, B_n, f_{n+1}) where A_n, B_n are finite index subnormal subgroups of Γ , $f_{n+1} : A_n \rightarrow B_n$ is an isomorphism such that $[f_{n+1}] = [\varphi]^{n+1}$ in $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$, $CF(\Gamma; A_n)$ and $CF(\Gamma; A)$ are equivalent and $CF(\Gamma; B_n)$ and $CF(\Gamma; B)$ are equivalent. We set $A_0 = A$, $B_0 = B$ and $f_1 = \varphi$. Now suppose (A_n, B_n, f_{n+1}) have been constructed. We verify that $A_{n+1} = \varphi^{-1}(A_n \cap B)$, $B_{n+1} = f_{n+1}(A_n \cap B)$, and $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} \circ \varphi : A_{n+1} \rightarrow B_{n+1}$ verify the required properties. Note that B_{n+1} is a subgroup of B_n . By definition of the composition in $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)$ one has $[f_{n+2}] = [f_{n+1}][\varphi]$. Hence $[f_{n+2}] = [\varphi]^{n+1}[\varphi] = [\varphi]^{n+2}$. Since A_n is subnormal in Γ , $B \cap A_n$ is subnormal in B , and consequently $A_{n+1} = \varphi^{-1}(A_n \cap B)$ is subnormal in $\varphi^{-1}(B) = A$. Hence A_{n+1} is subnormal in Γ . Similarly B_{n+1} is subnormal in B_n , and hence in Γ . Since $A_{n+1} \leq A \leq \Gamma$, we have $CF(\Gamma; A_{n+1}) = CF(\Gamma; A) \sqcup CF(A; A_{n+1})$. Since φ is an isomorphism from A to B sending A_{n+1} to $B \cap A_n$, we also have $CF(A; A_{n+1}) = CF(B; B \cap A_n)$. Now intersecting with B a composition series associated to A_n , it is easily seen that $CF(B; B \cap A_n) \preceq CF(\Gamma; A_n)$. Since $CF(\Gamma; A_n) \preceq CF(\Gamma; A)$, we deduce $CF(B; B \cap A_n) \preceq CF(\Gamma; A)$, and hence $CF(\Gamma; A_{n+1}) \preceq CF(\Gamma; A)$. Since we also have $CF(\Gamma; A) \preceq CF(\Gamma; A_{n+1})$, it follows that $CF(\Gamma; A)$ and $CF(\Gamma; A_{n+1})$ are equivalent. A similar argument yields $CF(\Gamma; B)$ and $CF(\Gamma; B_{n+1})$ are equivalent. We have thus verified all the properties.

Now assume $CF(\Gamma; A)$ and $CF(\Gamma; B)$ are not equivalent. Then $CF(\Gamma; A_n)$ and $CF(\Gamma; B_n)$ are not equivalent, and hence are not equal. Lemma 2 therefore implies $[f_{n+1}]$ does not belong to $\text{Comm}_{SN}(\Gamma)$. Since $\text{AComm}(\Gamma)$ is contained in $\text{Comm}_{SN}(\Gamma)$, the image of $[f_{n+1}]$ is non-trivial in the quotient $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)/\text{AComm}(\Gamma)$.

Since $[f_{n+1}] = [\varphi]^{n+1}$, this shows the image of $[\varphi]$ has infinite order in $\text{Comm}(\Gamma)/\text{AComm}(\Gamma)$. \square

2. THE COMMENSURATOR OF A COCOMPACT LATTICE IN THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A REGULAR TREE

Let $k \geq 3$, and T_k the k -regular tree. Let W be the free product of k copies of the cyclic group of order 2 (the free Coxeter group of rank k). We fix $a_1, \dots, a_k \in W$ such that $a_i^2 = 1$ and a_1, \dots, a_k generate W . We identify the tree T_k with the Cayley graph of W associated to a_1, \dots, a_k . This means that there is a vertex v_0 in T_k corresponding to the identity element, and that there is a coloring of the edges of T_k by the elements of $\{1, \dots, k\}$, where an edge is colored i if it corresponds to an edge between two elements γ and γa_i of W . In particular we view W as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T_k)$. It is a cocompact lattice because W acts freely and transitively on vertices of T_k . Note that W is exactly the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T_k)$ preserving the coloring.

Following [LMZ94], we denote by C_k the commensurator of W in $\text{Aut}(T_k)$. Any cocompact lattice Γ of $\text{Aut}(T_k)$ admits a conjugate that is commensurable with W in $\text{Aut}(T_k)$, and hence has a commensurator in $\text{Aut}(T_k)$ that is conjugate to C_k [BK90, 4.15-4.17]. Hence Theorem 1 is equivalent to the corresponding assertion about the group C_k . The group C_k is known to be monolithic (i.e. admits a non-trivial normal subgroup contained in any other non-trivial normal subgroup) [LMZ94, Cap20]. Moreover Lubotzky–Mozes–Zimmer showed that the monolith M_k of C_k contains the type preserving index two subgroup of W [LMZ94, Proposition 5.1], and Caprace showed that M_k is a simple group [Cap20, Theorem A.1]. Theorem 1 asserts that M_k has infinite index in C_k , and the quotient C_k/M_k admits infinite order elements.

We will make use of the interpretation of elements of the stabilizer of v_0 in C_k as recoloring of finite graphs. The setting is the following. Let X be a finite connected k -regular graph (every vertex has k adjacent edges). Edges are non-oriented. We allow an edge to be a loop, and we also allow multiple edges. By a coloring c of X we mean an assignment for each edge of X of an element in $\{1, \dots, k\}$ (the color of that edge) such that for every vertex, the k adjacent edges have different colors. If c is a coloring of X and x_0 a vertex of X , there exists a unique color preserving map $\pi : T_k \rightarrow (X, c)$ such that $\pi(v_0) = x_0$, and this map is surjective.

Associated to a coloring of X there is an action of W on the vertex set VX . It is defined by declaring that a_i flips all pairs of distinct vertices joined by an edge with color i , and a_i fixes all vertices for which the adjacent edge with color i is a loop. By the universal property of free products, this indeed defines an action of W on VX . Note that the graph and the coloring encode the action on VX , but the action needs not preserve the graph structure.

We refer to Section 2 (notably to Propositions 2.2 and 2.4) in [LMZ94] for the following.

Proposition 4. *Let X be a finite connected k -regular graph, and x_0 a vertex of X . Let c_1, c_2 be two colorings of X , and for $i = 1, 2$ let H_i be the stabilizer of x_0 in W for the action of W on VX associated to c_i . Then one can associate to the pair (c_1, c_2) an element $g \in \text{Aut}(T_k)$ such that $g(v_0) = v_0$ and $gH_1g^{-1} = H_2$ (in particular g belongs to C_k).*

The definition of g goes as follows. The pair (c_1, c_2) gives rise to a collection of permutations $(\sigma_x)_{x \in VX}$ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$, defined by the property that for every $x \in VX$ and every edge e adjacent to x , the coloring c_2 is given by $c_2(e) = \sigma_x(c_1(e))$. The element g associated to X, x_0, c_1, c_2 is then the element of $\text{Aut}(T_k)$ determined by the condition $g(v_0) = v_0$ and the local action of g around a vertex v of T_k is given by the permutation $\sigma_{\pi(v)}$, where $\pi : T_k \rightarrow (X, c_1)$ is the unique color preserving map such that $\pi(v_0) = x_0$. We refer to [LMZ94] for details.

Proof of Theorem 1. As explained above, one has to show C_k has a proper quotient with infinite order elements. Since the only element of $\text{Aut}(T_k)$ centralizing a finite index subgroup of W is the identity, the natural homomorphism $C_k \rightarrow \text{Comm}(W)$ is injective [BK90, B.7]. In the sequel we identify C_k with its image in $\text{Comm}(W)$. We consider the image of C_k in $\text{Comm}(W)/\text{AComm}(W)$. Since C_k intersects $\text{AComm}(W)$ along an infinite normal subgroup (because W is contained in $\text{AComm}(W)$), to obtain the conclusion it is enough to show that the image of C_k in $\text{Comm}(W)/\text{AComm}(W)$ admits infinite order elements.

We fix an integer $s \geq 3$, and let $r = 2^s$. Let X be the k -regular graph with r vertices x_0, \dots, x_{r-1} , with an edge e_i between x_i and x_{i+1} for every $i = 0, \dots, r-2$, and such that all other edges are loops. We consider a coloring c_1 of X such that edges e_0, e_2, \dots, e_{r-2} have color 1, and edges e_1, e_3, \dots, e_{r-3} have color 2 (how c_1 is defined on other edges will not matter). The permutation group on VX induced by the W -action associated to c_1 is the dihedral group of order $2r$. In particular it is a 2-group. Hence the stabilizer H_1 of x_0 in W is a subnormal subgroup of W , and $CF(W; H_1)$ is made of the cyclic group of order 2 with multiplicity $r/2$.

We now define a coloring c_2 of X by starting from c_1 , and specifying for each vertex x of X a permutation of $\{1, \dots, k\}$. For vertices x_3, \dots, x_{r-1} , we take the identity. In other words c_2 coincide with c_1 on all edges adjacent to those vertices. For x_0, x_1, x_2 , we take respectively $(12), (123), (23)$. So exactly five edges have changed color, among which e_0, e_1 , which were previously colored 1 and 2 and are now colored 2 and 3. The element a_3 acts on VX as a transposition, and the supports of a_1 and a_3 intersect along a singleton, and similarly for a_2 and a_3 . One then easily verifies that the permutation group on VX induced by the W -action associated to c_2 is the symmetric group $\text{Sym}(r)$. As before we denote by H_2 the stabilizer of x_0 in W .

Proposition 4 applied to X, x_0, c_1, c_2 provides $g \in C_k$ such that $gH_1g^{-1} = H_2$. Let $B = \text{Core}_W(H_2)$, and $A = g^{-1}Bg$, so that conjugation by g defines an isomorphism $A \rightarrow B$. The subgroup B is normal in W , and $CF(W; B)$ contains the cyclic group of order 2 and $\text{Alt}(r)$ (because $s \geq 3$), both with multiplicity 1. The subgroup A is normal in H_1 , and H_1 is subnormal in W , so A is subnormal in W . And by the description of $CF(W; H_1)$ given above, we infer that $CF(W; A)$ contains the cyclic group of order 2 with multiplicity at least $r/2$. Cardinality of the index of A in W (which is $r!$) therefore prevents $\text{Alt}(r)$ from appearing in $CF(W; A)$. Hence $CF(W; A)$ and $CF(W; B)$ are not equivalent. Proposition 3 applies, and asserts that the image of g in $\text{Comm}(W)/\text{AComm}(W)$ has infinite order. \square

REFERENCES

- [BELB⁺25] Yiftach Barnea, Mikhail Ershov, Adrien Le Boudec, Colin Reid, Matteo Vannacci, and Thomas Weigel, *On commensurators of free groups and free pro- p groups*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.04120>.

- [BK90] H. Bass and R. Kulkarni, *Uniform tree lattices*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 4, 843–902.
- [Cap20] P.-E. Caprace, *Almost simplicity of commensurators of free groups*, Canad. J. Math. **72** (2020), no. 6, 1624–1690, Appendix to the paper *New simple lattices in products of trees and their projections* by N. Radu.
- [LMZ94] A. Lubotzky, S. Mozes, and R. J. Zimmer, *Superrigidity for the commensurability group of tree lattices*, Comment. Math. Helv. **69** (1994), no. 4, 523–548. MR 1303226

CNRS, UMPA - ENS LYON, 46 ALLÉE D'ITALIE, 69364 LYON, FRANCE
E-mail address: `adrien.le-boudec@ens-lyon.fr`