Piecewise strongly proximal actions, free boundaries and the Neretin groups

Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Adrien Le Boudec, and Nicolás Matte Bon[‡]

UCLouvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

UMPA - ENS Lyon, France

ICJ - Universite de Lyon I, France

August 30, 2021

Abstract

A closed subgroup H of a locally compact group G is confined if the closure of the conjugacy class of H in the Chabauty space of G does not contain the trivial subgroup. We establish a dynamical criterion on the action of a totally disconnected locally compact group G on a compact space X ensuring that no relatively amenable subgroup of G can be confined. This property is equivalent to the fact that the action of G on its Furstenberg boundary is free. Our criterion applies to the Neretin groups. We deduce that each Neretin group has two inequivalent irreducible unitary representations that are weakly equivalent. This implies that the Neretin groups are not of type I, thereby answering a question of Y. Neretin.

1 Introduction

Let G be a locally compact group. A compact G-space X is a compact space equipped with a continuous action of G. The action of G on X is **strongly proximal** if for every $\mu \in \operatorname{Prob}(X)$, the closure of the G-orbit of μ in $\operatorname{Prob}(X)$ contains a Dirac measure, where the space $\operatorname{Prob}(X)$ of Borel probability measures on X is endowed with the weak* topology. The G-space X is a (topological) G-boundary if the G-action is minimal and strongly proximal [18]. If G is an amenable group, the only G-boundary is the one-point space; and this property actually characterizes amenability among locally compact groups.

^{*}F.R.S.-FNRS Senior Research Associate. pe.caprace@uclouvain.be

[†]CNRS Researcher. adrien.le-boudec@ens-lyon.fr

[‡]CNRS Researcher. mattebon@math.univ-lyon1.fr

Every group G admits a G-boundary, unique up to isomorphism, with the property that every G-boundary is a factor of it. It is called the **Furstenberg boundary** of G; we denote it by $\partial_F G$. The group G acts faithfully on $\partial_F G$ if and only if the only amenable normal subgroup of G is the trivial subgroup $\langle e \rangle$ [17]. In this note we are interested in the following:

Problem 1.1. Determine when the action of G on $\partial_F G$ is free.

A key motivation for that question is that the freeness of the G-action on $\partial_F G$ is equivalent to various other properties of the group G. We say that the action of G on a minimal compact G-space X is **topologically free** if there is a dense set of points in X that have a trivial stabilizer in G. We say that H is **relatively amenable** in G if H fixes a probability measure on every compact G-space. Clearly, every amenable subgroup is relatively amenable; when G is discrete, the converse holds, see [9]. A **uniformly recurrent subgroup** (or **URS** for short) of G is a minimal G-invariant closed subset of the Chabauty space Sub(G) of closed subgroups of G. A closed subgroup $H \leq G$ is **confined** in G if the closure of the conjugacy class of H in Sub(G) avoids the trivial subgroup $\langle e \rangle$.

Theorem 1.2 (See [22, 6, 24] in the case of discrete groups). Let G be a locally compact group. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) The G-action on $\partial_F G$ is free.
- (ii) There is a G-boundary on which the G-action is topologically free.
- (iii) No relatively amenable closed subgroup of G is confined.
- (iv) The only relatively amenable URS of G is the trivial subgroup.

If in addition G is discrete, then those are also equivalent to:

(v) G is C^* -simple, i.e. the reduced C^* -algebra of G is a simple C^* -algebra.

That the five conditions in Theorem 1.2 are equivalent for a discrete group follows from the recent works [22, 6, 24]. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) are rather straightforward, while the converse implication (i) \Leftarrow (ii) is a particular case of a result from [28]. It is an open problem to determine whether (v) is also equivalent to (i)–(iv) for general (i.e. indiscrete) locally compact groups.

Conditions (iii) and (iv) highlight an essential feature of Problem 1.1, namely that it can be reformulated in terms of the G-action on the space of its closed subgroups. Indeed, this allows one to avoid constructing explicitly any G-boundary, and simply study the conjugation action of G on its relatively amenable subgroups. For example, if G is a discrete hyperbolic group, every amenable subgroup is virtually cyclic, hence finitely generated. In particular G has countably many amenable subgroups, and it is a general fact that for a group G with this property, the only amenable URS of G is trivial, provided G has no amenable normal subgroup other than the trivial subgroup. This situation also

covers CAT(0) groups by [1, Cor. B]. Many other discrete groups admitting an isometric action satisfying a combination of weak forms of properness and of non-positive curvature can be proved to have a free Furstenberg boundary in a similar (but more elaborate) way, see [13, 12, 6]. Note that the requirement of a certain form of properness cannot be dropped according to [25]. The reformulation of Problem 1.1 in terms of confined subgroups has also been exploited in the realm of discrete groups of dynamical origin in [26].

For non-discrete groups, it turns out that Problem 1.1 has a very different flavour. Indeed, many natural non-discrete locally compact groups do admit a non-trivial relatively amenable URS. This is notably the case for semisimple Lie groups and semisimple algebraic groups over local fields: any such group G indeed has a cocompact amenable subgroup P. By cocompactness, the conjugacy class of P is closed, so P must be confined. Beyond the classical case, in a locally compact group G acting properly and strongly transitively on a locally finite building of arbitrary (not necessarily Euclidean) type, every maximal compact subgroup is confined (this follows from [8, Th. 4.10]). Thus, many natural examples of non-discrete locally compact groups fail to satisfy the condition of Problem 1.1.

The first goal of this note is to contribute to Problem 1.1 by establishing a sufficient criterion for a locally compact group that ensures a positive answer to Problem 1.1. Given a compact G-space X and a clopen subset α of X, the rigid stabilizer of α in G is the pointwise fixator of $X \setminus \alpha$. It is denoted by $R_G(\alpha) = \operatorname{Fix}_G(X \setminus \alpha)$. We say that the action of G on X is **piecewise minimal-strongly-proximal** if the action of $R_G(\alpha)$ on α is minimal and strongly proximal for every non-empty clopen subset α of X.

Theorem 1.3. Let G be a totally disconnected locally compact group. Suppose that there exists a totally disconnected compact G-space X such that the G-action on X is faithful and piecewise minimal-strongly-proximal. Then G does not have any relatively amenable confined subgroup. Equivalently, G acts freely on its Furstenberg boundary $\partial_F G$.

Note that the piecewise minimal-strongly-proximal property of the action of G on X implies in particular that X is a G-boundary, and also that this action is very far from being free. So the philosophy of Theorem 1.3 is that the existence of a G-boundary that is non-free and satisfies a certain strong compressibility property, ensures the existence of another G-boundary that is free.

Although the reformulation of Problem 1.1 in terms of confined subgroups is helpful, we emphasize that the Chabauty space Sub(G) and its G-invariant closed subspaces are typically delicate to describe. Moreover, the general properties of the space Sub(G) are often more subtle in the case of non-discrete groups (see for instance [10, §20.1]; see also [16, §1.2] for a remarkable recent result ensuring that, in a simple Lie group of rank ≥ 2 , every discrete confined subgroup is a lattice). In the case of discrete groups, Theorem 1.3 is already known [26, Cor. 3.6]. It is actually consequence of the following more general result: if G is a discrete group and X a faithful G-space, then for every confined subgroup H of G, there exists a non-empty open subset α of X such that H contains the commutator subgroup of $R_G(\alpha)$ [27, Th. 1.1]. Here the assumption made in Theorem 1.3 implies that $R_G(\alpha)$ is non-amenable, so in this situation it follows in particular that H is not amenable

either. However it is worth noting that, as shown by classical examples, the stronger conclusion of [27, Th. 1.1] completely fails for non-discrete groups; see Section 3.

Examples of groups to which Theorem 1.3 applies are the **Neretin groups** $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ of almost automorphisms of quasi-regular tree $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. The groups $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ are non-discrete, compactly generated, simple, totally disconnected locally compact groups [7, §6.3]. We refer to [19] for details. The groups $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ can be defined as groups of homeomorphisms of the space of ends $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$, and the action of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ on $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ is piecewise minimal-strongly-proximal. The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. For all integers $d, k \geq 2$, the Neretin group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ does not have any confined relatively amenable subgroup. In particular $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ does not have any cocompact amenable subgroup.

To the best of our knowledge, the Neretin group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is the first known example of a non-discrete compactly generated simple locally compact group acting freely on its Furstenberg boundary. It is likely that Theorem 1.3 will apply to many other simple groups.

Using that result, we establish the following representation theoretic property of the Neretin group.

Theorem 1.5. For all integers $d, k \geq 2$, the Neretin group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is not a type I group.

This answers negatively Question 1.4(2) from [33]. We recall that a locally compact group G is of **type I** is for every unitary representation π , the von Neumann algebra $\pi(G)''$ is of type I. By Glimm's theorem [20], a second countable group G is of type I if and only if any two weakly equivalent irreducible unitary representations of G are unitarily equivalent. We refer to [14] and [3] for detailed expositions.

Let us also mention that Y. Neretin has proved in [33, Th. 1.2] that the group $\mathcal{N}_{d,d+1}$ has an open subgroup A such that $(\mathcal{N}_{d,d+1}, A)$ forms a generalized Gelfand pair. Since $\mathcal{N}_{d,d+1}$ admits no cocompact amenable subgroup by Corollary 1.4, we deduce that N. Monod's result on Gelfand pairs [31] cannot be extended to generalized Gelfand pairs, even among simple groups (see Remark 4.3).

Motivated by the understanding of the confined subgroups of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$, we also provide a complete classification of the closed cocompact subgroups of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$, inspired by [2]. This classification says that there are as few proper cocompact subgroups in $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ as one might hope: any such subgroup is a finite index open subgroup of the stabilizer of an end $\xi \in \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$, see Theorem 4.9. This description notably implies that $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is an isolated point of its Chabauty space (see Corollary 4.10). This last phenomenon contrasts with the case of the automorphism group of a regular tree; see Remark 4.11.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is presented in Section 3. It is fairly elementary. The argument actually establishes non-confinement for an appropriate class of subgroups of G, which properly contains the class of relatively amenable subgroups. It uses in an essential way that the fixator G_F of a finite subset F of X in G admits a natural subgroup J that is commensurated in G_F , and which is an exhaustion of subgroups that are built up from

a compact open subgroup of G_F and a certain rigid stabilizer in G. The proof consists in combining general considerations on confined subgroups (Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.7) together with an approximation argument at the level of the above subgroup J. The application to the Neretin groups and the description of the closed cocompact subgroups of the Neretin groups are given in Section 4.

Acknowledgement. We thank Sven Raum for his comments on an earlier version of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a locally compact group. The Chabauty space of closed subgroups of G, which is compact, is denoted by Sub(G). See [5, Ch. VIII, §5] for some of its basic properties.

Recall that when X is a compact G-space, the stabilizer map $X \to \operatorname{Sub}(G)$, $x \mapsto G_x$, is upper semi-continuous, meaning that for every net (x_i) in X converging to x and such that (G_{x_i}) converges to L in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$, one has $L \leq G_x$.

Recall that a closed subgroup H of a locally compact group G is **relatively amenable** in G if H fixes a probability measure on every compact G-space [9]. The following is well-known (it is implicit in [9], and also appears in [31]).

Proposition 2.1. A subgroup H of G is relatively amenable in G if and only if H fixes a probability measure on $\partial_F G$.

A closed subgroup $H \leq G$ is called **confined** if the closure of its conjugacy class in Sub(G) avoids the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$. The following follows immediately:

Proposition 2.2. Let G be a locally compact group. Then there exists a G-boundary on which the action is topologically free if and only if no relatively amenable subgroup of G is confined.

3 The proof of Theorem 1.3

Let X be a compact G-space. Given a subset $\alpha \subseteq X$, we define the **rigid stabilizer** of α in G as the pointwise stabilizer of the complement $\Omega \setminus \alpha$. It is denoted by

$$\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha) = \operatorname{Fix}_G(\Omega \setminus \alpha).$$

Let us now assume that X is totally disconnected. We say that the G-action on X is **piecewise minimal** (resp. **piecewise strongly proximal**) if for every non-empty clopen set $\alpha \subseteq \Omega$, the action of the rigid stabilizer $\mathrm{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ on α is minimal (resp. strongly proximal). If the G-action has both properties, we say that the G-action is **piecewise minimal-strongly-proximal**; we shall focus on that situation.

The criterion of non-confinement we shall establish in order to prove Theorem 1.3 is Theorem 3.3 below. It requires the following definition. **Definition 3.1.** Let G be a locally compact and X be a totally disconnected compact G-space. We denote by \mathcal{S}_X the subset of $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$ consisting of those closed subgroups $H \leq G$ such that for every non-empty clopen subset α of X, the stabilizer of α in H fixes a probability measure on α .

We observe that \mathcal{S}_X indeed contains all relatively amenable subgroups of G.

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a locally compact and X be a totally disconnected compact G-space. Every relatively amenable closed subgroup of G is contained in S_X .

Proof. Let H be subgroup of G that is amenable relative to G. If α is a non-empty clopen subset of X, then $\operatorname{Stab}_{G}(\alpha)$ is open in G, and therefore $\operatorname{Stab}_{H}(\alpha)$ is amenable relative to $\operatorname{Stab}_{G}(\alpha)$ [9, Lemma 11]. So $\operatorname{Stab}_{H}(\alpha)$ fixes a probability measure on α , and $H \in \mathcal{S}_{X}$. \square

In view Lemma 3.2, we see that Theorem 1.3 is an immediate consequence of the following, which is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a tdlc group and X be a totally disconnected compact G-space on which the G-action is faithful and piecewise minimal-strongly-proximal. Then no subgroup $H \in \mathcal{S}_X$ is confined.

The proof requires a few preparations. We recall the the **commensurator** of a subgroup H of a group G, denoted by $\operatorname{Comm}_G(H)$, consists of those elements $g \in G$ such that the intersection $H \cap gHg^{-1}$ is of finite index both in H and in gHg^{-1} . We say that H is a **commensurated** subgroup of G if $\operatorname{Comm}_G(H) = G$.

Lemma 3.4. Let G be a group acting on a set X and let Λ be a commensurated subgroup of G. Then the union of the finite Λ -orbits in X is a G-invariant subset of X.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ having a finite Λ -orbit and let $g \in G$. We want to see that Λgx is finite. Since g commensurates Λ , there exist $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in G$ such that Λg is covered by $\cup g_i \Lambda$. So Λgx in contained in a finite union of finite sets, and hence is finite.

Lemma 3.5. Let G be a locally compact group and X be a totally disconnected locally compact G-space X on which the G-action is faithful. Assume moreover that for every non-empty clopen subset α of X, the action of $\mathrm{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ on α is minimal and proximal. For every closed subset $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathrm{Sub}(G)$ not containing the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$, there exists $r \geq 1$ such that every element of \mathcal{H} has at most r finite orbits in X.

Proof. Since \mathcal{H} is Chabauty-closed and does not contain the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$, it follows that there exists a compact subset $P \subset G$ with $e \notin P$ that intersects non-trivially every element of \mathcal{H} .

Since all elements of P act non-trivially on X, by compactness one can find non-empty disjoint compact open subsets $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r$ such that for every $g \in P$ there is i such that $g(\alpha_i)$ and α_i are disjoint. Suppose that there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}$ with r+1 distinct fixed points x_0, \ldots, x_r . Upon replacing $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r$ with smaller subsets, we can assume that x_i does not belong to α_j for all i, j. Consider compact open neighbourhoods β_i of x_i that are all

disjoint and such that β_i does not intersect any α_j . Since $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha_i \cup \beta_i)$ acts minimally on $\alpha_i \cup \beta_i$, there is $g_i \in \operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha_i \cup \beta_i)$ such that $g_i(x_i) \in \alpha_i$. Then $g = g_0 \cdots g_r$ verifies $g(x_i) \in \alpha_i$ for all i, so that gHg^{-1} fixes a point inside α_i for all i. So gHg^{-1} does not intersect P, which is a contradiction.

Suppose now that there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}$ with r+1 distinct finite orbits F_0, \ldots, F_r . Again we choose compact open neighbourhoods β_i of F_i that are all disjoint. Using that the action of $\mathrm{Rist}_G(\beta_i)$ on β_i is proximal, for each i, one can find a net $g_{i,k} \in \mathrm{Rist}_G(\beta_i)$ and a point $x_i \in \beta_i$ such that $g_{i,k}(x)$ converges to x_i for all $x \in F_i$. Set $g_k = g_{0,k} \cdots g_{r,k}$. Then any accumulation point of $g_k H g_k^{-1}$ in \mathcal{H} fixes x_0, \ldots, x_r , in contradiction with the previous paragraph.

Recall that a locally compact group is **locally elliptic** if every compact subset is contained in a compact subgroup of G. The **locally elliptic radical** $\operatorname{Rad}_{LE}(G)$ of G is the union of all closed normal locally elliptic subgroups of G; it is a closed normal subgroup of G [34]. The **topological FC-center** B(G) of a locally compact group G is the set of elements with a relatively compact conjugacy class. It is a (not necessarily closed) normal subgroup of G. More generally, if G is a subgroup of G, we let G is the set of elements of G with a relatively compact G is a subgroup generated by G is also normal in G. An elements G is **periodic** if the subgroup generated by G is relatively compact in G. The set of periodic elements of G is denoted G.

The following result was proven by Wu-Yu in [37].

Proposition 3.6. Let G be a tdlc group such that B(G) is dense in G. Then $G/\text{Rad}_{LE}(G)$ is a discrete torsion free abelian group.

In particular for every tdlc group G, the topological FC-center B(G) is contained in the amenable radical of G.

Proof. Assume that B(G) is dense in G. Under this assumption, Theorem 4 in [37] asserts that $P(G) = \overline{P(G) \cap B(G)}$, that P(G) is a characteristic open subgroup of G, and that G/P(G) is torsion free abelian. Hence to conclude it is enough to see that $P(G) = \operatorname{Rad}_{LE}(G)$. By a result of Usakov (see e.g. Theorem A in [37]), we have $P(G) \cap B(G) \leq \operatorname{Rad}_{LE}(G)$. Since $\operatorname{Rad}_{LE}(G)$ is closed, we infer that $P(G) \leq \operatorname{Rad}_{LE}(G)$. Now G/P(G) has trivial locally elliptic radical, as it is discrete and torsion free abelian, so we actually have $P(G) = \operatorname{Rad}_{LE}(G)$, as desired.

Now for a general tdlc group G, the previous statement can be applied to H = B(G). This subgroup is therefore an extension of a locally elliptic group by an abelian group, and in particular is amenable. So B(G) lies in the amenable radical of G, as desired. \square

Proposition 3.7. Let G be tdlc group, J an open subgroup of G and N a normal subgroup of G contained in J. Let H_0 be a closed subgroup of G such that $H_0 \cap J = \{e\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{0,N}$ be the closure of the N-orbit of H_0 in Sub(G), and let $\mathcal{H}' \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{0,N}$ be a closed minimal N-invariant subset. Then $H \leq B_N(G)$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}'$.

Proof. We first observe that $H \cap J = \{e\}$ for all $H \in \mathcal{H}_{0,N}$. Indeed, the map $\mathcal{H}_{0,N} \to \operatorname{Sub}(J)$, $H \mapsto H \cap J$, is continuous since J is open in G, and N-equivariant since N is

contained in J. So the set of subgroup H in $\mathcal{H}_{0,N}$ such that $H \cap J = \{e\}$ is closed and N-invariant in $\mathcal{H}_{0,N}$, and hence is equal to $\mathcal{H}_{0,N}$ since it contains H_0 .

Now let $\mathcal{H}' \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{0,N}$ be a closed minimal N-invariant subset. Fix a compact open subgroup U of G contained in J, a subgroup H in \mathcal{H}' and an element h_0 in H. The set

$$\mathcal{O} = \{ K \in \mathcal{H}' | K \cap h_0 U \neq \emptyset \}$$

is an open subset of \mathcal{H}' , which is non-empty since $H \in \mathcal{O}$. So by minimality and compactness one can find g_1, \ldots, g_r in N such that $\mathcal{H}' = \bigcup_i g_i \mathcal{O} g_i^{-1}$. Set $P = \bigcup_i g_i h_0 U g_i^{-1}$. We infer that $K \cap P \neq \emptyset$ for all K in \mathcal{H}' .

Consider the canonical projection $\pi: G \to G/N$. We have $\pi(P) \subset \pi(h_0)\pi(U)$, so that $P \subset h_0 J$ since $UN \leq J$. In particular, for any two elements $p_1, p_2 \in P$, we have $p_1^{-1}p_2 \in J$. Since $H \cap J$ is trivial, this implies that $H \cap P = \{h_0\}$. Moreover, since $K \cap J = \{e\}$ for all $K \in \mathcal{H}'$, we see that $gHg^{-1} \cap h_0 J$ contains at most one element for any $g \in N$. Since $gHg^{-1} \cap P$ is non-empty and since $P \subset h_0 J$, we deduce that $gHg^{-1} \cap h_0 J = gHg^{-1} \cap P$. On the other hand, we have $gh_0g^{-1} \in gHg^{-1} \cap h_0 J$ since $g \in N \leq J$. Therefore we have $gh_0g^{-1} \in P$. This shows that the N-conjugacy class of h_0 is entirely contained in the compact set P, and hence $h_0 \in B_N(G)$. This is valid for all $h_0 \in H$ and all $H \in \mathcal{H}'$, so the statement is proved.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Before going into the proof, let us first observe that if the space X is finite, then X is a singleton by strong proximality of the G-action on X. Since G acts faithfully, it is the trivial group, and the required conclusion is trivially true. We assume henceforth that X is infinite.

We first observe that if a closed subgroup H of G fixes probability measure μ on X, then by strong proximality of G we can find a net (g_i) in G such that $g_i\mu$ converges to a Dirac measure δ_x . By upper semi-continuity, every accumulation point of (H^{g_i}) in Sub(G) fixes the point x.

Suppose for a contradiction that there exists $H_0 \in \mathcal{S}_X$ that is confined, and let \mathcal{H} denote the orbit closure of H_0 in $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$. Hence \mathcal{H} does not contain $\{e\}$. For $K \in \mathcal{H}$, let $n_f(K)$ be the number of finite K-orbits in X. According to Lemma 3.5, the supremum $r = \sup\{n_f(K) \mid K \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is finite. Let L in \mathcal{H} such that $n_f(L) = r$. Note that $n_f(L) \geq 1$ by the initial observation in the second paragraph of this proof. Let $F \subset X$ be the set of points with a finite L-orbit. We denote by G_F the pointwise stabilizer of F and by $G_{(F)}$ the setwise stabilizer of F in G. We also let G_F^0 be the subgroup of G_F consisting of those elements fixing pointwise a neighbourhood of F. In other words, the group G_F^0 is the union, taken over all clopen subsets $\alpha \subset X$ with $\alpha \cap F = \emptyset$, of the rigid stabilizer $\mathrm{Rist}_G(\alpha)$. Note that G_F is a finite index open subgroup of $G_{(F)}$, and that G_F^0 is a normal subgroup of $G_{(F)}$. We fix a compact open subgroup G_F^0 contained in G_F^0 , and we denote by G_F^0 the subgroup of G_F^0 generated by G_F^0 and G_F^0 is normal in G_F^0 and G_F^0 is commensurated in G_F^0 , the subgroup G_F^0 is commensurated in G_F^0 .

Let \mathcal{K} be the subset of \mathcal{H} consisting of those elements H such that H is contained in $G_{(F)}$. Note that \mathcal{K} is closed and $G_{(F)}$ -invariant. By the definition of F, we have $L \in \mathcal{K}$, so that \mathcal{K} is non-empty.

Lemma 3.8. We have $H \cap J \neq \{e\}$ for all $H \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.8. Suppose for a contradiction that this is not the case, i.e. there exists $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $K_0 \cap J = \{e\}$. By invoking Proposition 3.7 for the group $G_{(F)}$, the open subgroup J and the normal subgroup G_F^0 , we infer that there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that K is contained in $B_{G_F^0}(G_{(F)})$.

Now, we claim that the subgroup $B_{G_F^0}(G_{(F)})$ is actually trivial. Otherwise, since $B_{G_F^0}(G_{(F)})$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{(F)}$, the classical commutator lemma for normal subgroups (see e.g. [32, Lem. 4.1] for a modern reference) asserts that there exists a compact open subset α of $X \setminus F$ such that the derived subgroup $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)'$ is contained in $B_{G_F^0}(G_{(F)})$. Since $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ is contained in G_F^0 , this actually implies that $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)'$ is contained in $B(\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha))$, and hence also in the amenable radical of $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ according to Proposition 3.6. On the other hand, by assumption the action of $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ on α is faithful, minimal and strongly proximal, so the group $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ has a trivial amenable radical (indeed α is not a singleton since X is infinite by hypothesis). In particular $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ has trivial topological FC-center, and $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)'$ is trivial. This implies that $\operatorname{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ would be abelian, hence amenable, which again is impossible. It follows that $B_{G_F^0}(G_{(F)})$ is indeed trivial, and hence so is the subgroup K. We deduce that \mathcal{H} contains the trivial subgroup, which is a contradiction.

We next record the following.

Lemma 3.9. For every $H \in \mathcal{K}$, the subgroup $H \cap J$ does not have any finite orbit in $X \setminus F$.

Proof of Lemma 3.9. The set

$$\{H \cap J | H \in \mathcal{K}\}$$

is a closed J-invariant subset of $\operatorname{Sub}(J)$, which does not contain the trivial subgroup according to Lemma 3.8. Let us fix some $H \in \mathcal{K}$. Since J contains G_F^0 , we deduce that the J-action on $X \setminus F$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.5. Hence, the number of finite $H \cap J$ -orbits is finite. Since J is a commensurated subgroup of $G_{(F)}$, the subgroup $H \cap J$ is commensurated in H, and hence the union of the finite orbits of $H \cap J$ is an H-invariant set (see Lemma 3.4). By the definition of \mathcal{K} , every finite H-orbit is contained in F. This confirms that every finite $H \cap J$ -orbit is contained in F, as desired.

We shall now finish the proof of Theorem 3.3. Let A be the collection of U-invariant clopen neighbourhoods of F. For $\alpha \in A$, the subgroup $\operatorname{Rist}_G(X \setminus \alpha)$ is normalized by U since U stabilizes α , and $J_{\alpha} := \operatorname{Rist}_G(X \setminus \alpha)U$ is a subgroup of J. We have $J_{\alpha} \subset J_{\alpha'}$ for all $\alpha' \subseteq \alpha$. Since A forms a basis of neighbourhoods of F, we have $\bigcup_A \operatorname{Rist}_G(X \setminus \alpha) = G_F^0$, so that $\bigcup_A J_{\alpha} = J$.

We fix a point ξ in $X \setminus F$, and $\alpha \in A$ such that $\xi \notin \alpha$. We also fix an element $H \in \mathcal{K}$. The subgroup $H \cap J_{\alpha}$ stabilizes the clopen subset $X \setminus \alpha$, and hence fixes a probability measure ν_{α} on $X \setminus \alpha$ by the assumption that H belongs to \mathcal{S}_X . Since the action of $\mathrm{Rist}_G(X \setminus \alpha)$ on $X \setminus \alpha$ is minimal and strongly proximal, one can find a net (g_k) in $\mathrm{Rist}_G(X \setminus \alpha)$ such that $g_k(\nu_{\alpha})$ converges to the Dirac measure at ξ . By compactness we

may assume that H^{g_k} converges to a point $H^{(\alpha)}$ in \mathcal{K} . Since J_{α} is open, it follows that $H^{g_k} \cap J_{\alpha}$ converges to $H^{(\alpha)} \cap J_{\alpha}$, which must therefore fix ξ by the choice of the net (g_k) .

Now by compactness again, upon passing to a subnet we may assume that $H^{(\alpha)}$ converges in \mathcal{K} . Let K be its limit. We claim that $K \cap J$ fixes ξ . Since $J = \bigcup_A J_\beta$, it suffices to check that $K \cap J_\beta$ fixes ξ for all $\beta \in A$. We fix $\beta \in A$. Using again that J_β is open, the subgroup $K \cap J_\beta$ is the limit of $H^{(\alpha)} \cap J_\beta$. Now eventually we have $J_\beta \leq J_\alpha$. Therefore $H^{(\alpha)} \cap J_\beta$ is a subgroup of $H^{(\alpha)} \cap J_\alpha$, and hence $H^{(\alpha)} \cap J_\beta$ fixes ξ . By upper semi-continuity, so does $K \cap J_\beta$. This proves the claim. So K is an element of K such that $K \cap J$ fixes ξ . Since Lemma 3.9 above says that no element of K can have this property, we have obtained a contradiction, thereby finishing the proof of the theorem.

As mentioned in the introduction, the conclusion of Theorem 3.3 was already known when G is a discrete group, as it follows from Theorem 1.1 of [27]. This result asserts that when G is discrete and X is a G-space on which G acts faithfully, then every confined subgroup of G contains the commutator subgroup of the rigid stabilizer of some non-empty open subset of X. Here we note that this much stronger conclusion no longer holds outside the realm of discrete groups. For instance let $G = \operatorname{Aut}(T_d)$ be the automorphism group of a d-regular tree, $d \geq 3$. The group G acts faithfully on ∂T . If Γ is a discrete and cocompact subgroups for G (take for instance Γ to be the free product of d copies of the cyclic group G2), then Γ has a closed conjugacy class in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$ because Γ is cocompact. So in particular Γ is a confined subgroup of G. But on the other hand the pointwise fixator in Γ of an infinite subtree is trivial; and hence Γ obviously cannot contain the commutator subgroup the rigid stabilizer of a non-empty open subset of ∂T .

4 Neretin groups

4.1 Definitions. We briefly review basic notions concerning Neretin groups (see [7, §6.3] and [19] for more details). Let $d, k \geq 2$ be integers, and let $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ be a rooted tree such that the root has k descendants, and every vertex distinct from the root has d descendants. Notice that $\mathcal{T}_{d,d}$ is the regular rooted tree of degree d. Moreover, the graph $\mathcal{T}_{d,d+1}$ is isomorphic to the regular non-rooted tree of degree d+1. An **almost automorphism** of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ is a triple of the form (A, B, φ) , where A and B are finite subtrees of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ containing the root such that $|\partial A| = |\partial B|$, and φ is an isomorphism of forests $\mathcal{T}_{d,k} \setminus A \to \mathcal{T}_{d,k} \setminus B$. The **group of almost automorphisms** of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$, denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$, is the quotient of the set of all almost automorphisms by the relation which identifies two almost automorphisms (A, B, φ) and (A', B', φ') if there exists some finite subtree A'' containing $A \cup A'$ and such that φ and φ' coincide on $\mathcal{T}_{d,k} \setminus A''$. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is indeed a group. It carries a unique locally compact group topology such that the natural inclusion of the profinite group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{d,k})$ in $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is continuous and open. In particular $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is a totally disconnected locally compact group. Moreover, as observed in [7, §6.3], the group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ has a finitely generated dense subgroup, and is thus compactly generated.

Observe that for all integers d, k, the topological group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{d,k+d-1}$, so that the values of k in the set $\{2,3,\ldots,d\}$ suffice to account for all

isomorphism classes of the Neretin groups.

The collection of those elements of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ that can be represented by an almost automorphism (A, B, φ) such that A = B is a ball around the root of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ that we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{d,k}$. Clearly, the full automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{d,k})$ of the rooted tree is a compact open subgroup of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ contained in $\mathcal{O}_{d,k}$. The group $\mathcal{O}_{d,k}$ is the directed union of its compact open subgroups and is thus amenable. Moreover $\mathcal{O}_{d,k}$ is topologically simple (see [7, Rem. 6.8 and Lem. 6.9]).

The set of ends $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ is a compact $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ -space on which $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ acts faithfully. Given a vertex v of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$, we denote by \mathcal{T}_v the subtree spanned by all vertices separated from the root by v. In particular, if v_0 denotes the root, we have $\mathcal{T}_{v_0} = \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. For each vertex v, the set $\partial \mathcal{T}_v$ is a basic clopen subset of $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$; the collection of those clopen subsets forms a basis of the topology on $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$.

4.2 Application of Theorem 1.3. The following important feature follows from the self-replicating properties of the Neretin groups.

Lemma 4.1. The action of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ on $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ is piecewise minimal-strongly-proximal.

Proof. Set $G = \mathcal{N}_{d,k}$. Let us first show that, for every d and k, the G-action on $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ is minimal and strongly proximal.

Clearly the action is transitive, hence minimal. It is easy to see that the action is n-transitive (i.e. transitive on ordered n-tuples of distinct points) for all n. In particular it is proximal. To show strong proximality, it suffices by [30, Prop. VI.1.6] to show the existence of a compressible non-empty open set. The existence of such an open set is clear since G acts transitively on the basic clopen sets arising as the set of ends of the sub-rooted trees of the form \mathcal{T}_v , where v is a vertex different from the root.

Let now α be a non-empty clopen subset of $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. Then there is a finite set of vertices $\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$ distinct from the root, such that α decomposes as the disjoint union $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \partial \mathcal{T}_{v_i}$. Moreover, it follows from the definitions that $\mathrm{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{d,n}$, and that α is equivariantly homeomorphic to $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,n}$. Therefore, it follows from the first part of the proof that the $\mathrm{Rist}_G(\alpha)$ -action on α is minimal and strongly proximal.

As announced in the introduction, the Neretin groups constitute an important family of examples to which Theorem 1.3 applies.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ -action on the compact space $X = \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ is continuous and faithful. Moreover it is piecewise minimal-strongly proximal by Lemma 4.1. Thus the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.3.

We underline that for $X = \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$, the Neretin group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ has a discrete subgroup $F_{d,k}$, also called Thompson's group $F_{d,k}$, which belongs to \mathcal{S}_X , while it is an open problem to determine whether $F_{d,k}$ is relatively amenable in $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ (not to mention the problem whether or not $F_{d,k}$ is amenable). We refer to [7, §6.3] for the definition.

Corollary 4.2. For all integers $d, k \geq 2$, Thompson's group $F_{d,k}$ is not confined in the Neretin group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$.

Proof. Let $X = \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ and $\Gamma = F_{d,k}$. It follows from the definition of $F_{d,k}$ that for any non-empty clopen subset $\alpha \subseteq X$, the stabilizer $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ fixes a point in α . In particular $\operatorname{Stab}_{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ fixes a Dirac probability measure on α . Thus $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}_X$, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.3.

Remark 4.3. N. Monod [31] has proved that a locally compact group G having a compact subgroup K such that (G, K) forms a Gelfand pair, must have a cocompact amenable closed subgroup. Y. Neretin [33, Th. 1.2] has proved that the group $\mathcal{N}_{d,2} \cong \mathcal{N}_{d,d+1}$ has an open subgroup A such that $(\mathcal{N}_{d,2}, A)$ forms a generalized Gelfand pair. In view of Corollary 1.4, we see that Monod's result cannot be extended from Gelfand pairs to generalized Gelfand pairs, even among simple groups. Without the condition of simplicity, this can be observed by a much more straightforward argument. Indeed, given a locally compact group G and a subgroup A containing a closed normal subgroup N of G, every irreducible unitary representation of G with a nonzero A-invariant vector factorizes through a representation of G/N. In particular (G, A) is a generalized Gelfand pair if and only if (G/N, A/N) is one. Taking N to a discrete free group of countable rank, $G = N \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ (where $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ acts by permuting continuously the elements of a free basis of N) and $A = N \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, we deduce that (G, A) is a generalized Gelfand pair (because $(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p),\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p))$) is a Gelfand pair) such that G does not have any cocompact amenable subgroup.

4.3 Quasi-regular representations. We refer to [4, Appendix F] for general background about the Fell topology and weak containment of unitary representations.

Proposition 4.4. Let G be a second countable locally compact group and $H \leq G$ be a closed subgroup. If the closure of the conjugacy class of H in Sub(G) contains the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$, then the quasi-regular representation $\lambda_{G/H}$ weakly contains the regular representation λ_G .

Proof. By a result of Fell [15], if a sequence (H_n) of closed subgroups converges to J in $\operatorname{Sub}(G)$, the corresponding sequence of quasi-regular representations (λ_{G/H_n}) converges to $\lambda_{G/J}$ in the Fell topology. By hypothesis, there exists a sequence (H_n) of conjugates of H in G such that $\lim_n H_n = \{e\}$. Since H_n is conjugate to H, the quasi-regular representation λ_{G/H_n} is equivalent to $\lambda_{G/H}$. Hence, we deduce from Fell's result that the constant sequence $(\lambda_{G/H})$ converges to the regular representation λ_G in the Fell topology. This is a reformulation of the fact that $\lambda_{G/H}$ weakly contains λ_G .

Combining this with Corollary 1.4, we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.5. Let $d, k \geq 2$ be integers. For any relatively amenable subgroup A of $G = \mathcal{N}_{d,k}$, the quasi-regular representation $\lambda_{G/A}$ is weakly equivalent to the regular representation λ_G .

Proof. Since A is relatively amenable, the representation $\lambda_{G/A}$ is weakly contained in λ_G . By Corollary 1.4, the closure of the conjugacy class of A in Sub(G) contains the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$. By Proposition 4.4, this implies that λ_G is weakly contained in $\lambda_{G/A}$.

The following proposition records a special case of well known results due to G. Mackey [29].

Proposition 4.6. Let G be a second countable locally compact group and $H \leq G$ be an open subgroup.

- (i) If $Comm_G(H) = H$, then the unitary representation $\lambda_{G/H}$ is irreducible.
- (ii) If $J \leq G$ is an open subgroup such that every H-orbit on G/J is infinite, then the unitary representations $\lambda_{G/H}$ and $\lambda_{G/J}$ are not equivalent.

Proof. The first assertion follows from [29, Theorem 6']. To prove the second assertion, it suffices to observe that H has a non-zero invariant vector in $\ell^2(G/H)$, while the hypothesis implies that the only H-invariant vector in $\ell^2(G/J)$ is the zero function.

We can now prove the following.

Proposition 4.7. For all integers $d, k \geq 2$, the Neretin group $G = \mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ has two open amenable subgroups O, O' such that the unitary representations $\lambda_{G/O}$ and $\lambda_{G/O'}$ are irreducible and inequivalent.

Proof. Let K be the full automorphism group of the rooted tree $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. Thus K is a compact open subgroup of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ acting transitively on the set of ends $X = \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. Thus K fixes a unique probability measure ν on X.

Let O denote the stabilizer of ν in G, namely $O = G_{\nu}$. Given vertices v, w of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$, the set of ends $\partial \mathcal{T}_v$ and $\partial \mathcal{T}_w$ are basic clopen subsets of Ω . Moreover, we have $\nu(\partial \mathcal{T}_v) = \nu(\partial \mathcal{T}_w)$ if and only if v and w have the same level (i.e. their distance from the root are equal). This implies that any element of O can be represented by an almost automorphism (A, B, φ) such that A = B is a ball around the root. It follows that $O = \mathcal{O}_{d,k}$ (§4.1). Hence O is the directed union of its compact open subgroups; in particular O is amenable. Using [7, Rem. 6.8 and Lem. 6.9], we see that O is topologically simple. In particular, it does not have any proper open subgroup of finite index. It follows that $\operatorname{Comm}_G(O) = N_G(O)$. Since ν is the unique K-invariant probability measure on X, it is also the unique O-invariant probability measure. This implies that $N_G(O) \leq G_{\nu} = O$. Thus $\lambda_{G/O}$ is irreducible by Proposition 4.6(i).

Let now v_1, \ldots, v_k denote the k descendants of the root of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. Set $\alpha_i = \partial \mathcal{T}_{v_i}$. Set $O_i = \text{Rist}_O(\alpha_i)$. The group

$$P = \langle O_1 \cup \dots \cup O_k \rangle$$

is isomorphic to the direct product $O_1 \times \cdots \times O_k$. We set $O' = N_O(P)$.

Notice that O' contains K, hence it is open. Moreover, we have $O' \leq O$ so that O' is amenable. For each i, the sub-tree \mathcal{T}_{v_i} is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_{d,d}$ and that map defines an isomorphism of O_i to the group $\mathcal{O}_{d,d}$ defined above. Therefore, the group O_i is topologically simple, so that P does not have any proper open subgroup of finite index. It follows that $\operatorname{Comm}_G(O')$ normalizes P, and thus acts by permutation on the k direct factors O_1, \ldots, O_k by the Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem (see [35, 3.3.8 and 3.3.11]). Since O_i fixes a unique probability measure ν_i supported on α_i , we deduce that

 $\operatorname{Comm}_G(O')$ permutes the measures ν_i , hence it fixes ν , since we have $\nu = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \nu_i$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{Comm}_G(O') \leq G_{\nu} = O$. This finally shows that $\operatorname{Comm}_G(O') = N_O(P) = O'$, so that $\lambda_{G/O'}$ is irreducible by Proposition 4.6(i).

In order to show that $\lambda_{G/O}$ and $\lambda_{G/O'}$ are not equivalent, it suffices to show by Proposition 4.6(ii) that every O-orbit on G/O' is infinite. Since O is topologically simple, the existence of a finite O-orbit on G/O' implies the existence of a fixed point, which implies in turn that O is contained in some conjugate $gO'g^{-1}$. Since O and O' are both amenable and since ν is the unique O-invariant measure on ∂T , this implies that $O = gO'g^{-1}$. This is impossible since O is topologically simple but O' is not.

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Proposition 4.7, the group $G = \mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ has two inequivalent irreducible unitary representations of the form $\lambda_{G/O}$ and $\lambda_{G/O'}$, with O, O' open amenable subgroups of G. In view of Corollary 4.5, those representations are both weakly equivalent to the regular representation. By Glimm's theorem (see [20, Theorem 1]), in a second countable locally compact group of type I, any two weakly equivalent irreducible unitary representations are equivalent. It follows that G is not a type I group.

Remark 4.8. We believe that the amenable group $\mathcal{O}_{d,k}$, which is an open subgroup of $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$, is not a type I group either. This conjectural statement is formally stronger than Theorem 1.5 since the type I property is inherited by open subgroups. That conjecture, and the more ambitious problem of classifying the irreducible unitary CCR representations of $\mathcal{O}_{d,k}$, raises interesting questions on the asymptotic representation theory of the finite symmetric groups.

4.4 Cocompact subgroups and Chabauty-isolation. In view of the result from [2] and its far-reaching generalization obtained in [38], as well as Corollary 1.4, it is a natural problem to try to classify the confined subgroups of the group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$. Since closed cocompact subgroups are in a sense the most basic examples of confined subgroups, classifying these is a natural first step towards this problem. The aim of this section, which is independent of the previous ones, is to establish the following:

Theorem 4.9. Let $d, k \geq 2$ be integers. Any proper closed cocompact subgroup H of $G = \mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ fixes a point in $\xi \in \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. Moreover H is a finite index subgroup of G_{ξ} . In particular, the only closed cocompact unimodular subgroup of G is G itself.

It should be noted that the stabilizer G_{ξ} of a point $\xi \in \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ does indeed have proper open subgroups of finite index. Indeed G_{ξ} admits an infinite cyclic quotient. This can be seen for example by observing that G_{ξ} is compactly generated (because it is cocompact in the compactly generated group G) but not unimodular (because $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ does not carry any G-invariant probability measure by Lemma 4.1).

We also record the following consequence of independent interest.

Corollary 4.10. For all integers $d, k \geq 2$, the Neretin group $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is an isolated point of the Chabauty space $\mathrm{Sub}(\mathcal{N}_{d,k})$.

Proof. A sequence (H_n) of proper closed subgroups converging to $G = \mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ is eventually cocompact by [5, VIII.5.3, Proposition 6] since G is compactly generated. Hence upon extracting we may assume that H_n fixes a point $\xi_n \in \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ for all n by Theorem 4.9. The limit $G = \lim_n H_n$ must then fix any accumulation point of (ξ_n) in $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$, which is absurd since G is transitive on $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$.

Remark 4.11. Corollary 4.10 lies in sharp contrast with the case of the full automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ of a non-rooted regular tree of degree $n \geq 4$, which is not Chabauty-isolated. Indeed the group $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ can be approximated by a sequence of closed boundary-2-transitive subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ (see the Appendix to [11]).

The proof of Theorem 4.9 follows a similar strategy as in [2]. The key step is provided by the following.

Proposition 4.12. Let d, k be integers. The only proper cocompact closed subgroups of $O = \mathcal{O}_{d,k}$ are the stabilisers O_{ξ} of points $\xi \in \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$.

The proof requires subsidiary facts on finite permutation groups.

4.4.1 Factorizations of finite symmetric groups. The following result is based on a refinement of the arguments from §3 of [2].

Proposition 4.13. Let $\mathbf{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Assume that n is large enough so that the interval [n/2, n] contains at least 3 primes (we remark that the Prime Number Theorem implies that the number of primes between n/2 and n tends to infinity with n).

Let A, B be subgroups of the symmetric group $Sym(\mathbf{n})$ such that

$$Sym(\mathbf{n}) = AB,$$

where $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$. If A is transitive and imprimitive on \mathbf{n} , then either B fixes a point $x \in \mathbf{n}$ and contains the full alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(\mathbf{n} \setminus \{x\})$, or B contains $\mathrm{Alt}(\mathbf{n})$.

We first collect a couple of preliminaries. The following one is a theorem of C. Jordan [21].

Proposition 4.14. Let $\mathbf{k} = \{1, \dots, k\}$. A primitive (hence transitive) subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{k})$ containing a prime cycle of order $p \leq k-3$ contains the full alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(\mathbf{k})$.

Proof. See Theorem 13.9 in [36].

The following result appears implicitly in §3 of [2].

Lemma 4.15. Let $\mathbf{n} = \{1, ..., n\}$ and A, B be subgroups of the symmetric group $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{n})$ such that $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathbf{n}) = AB$. We assume that A is transitive and imprimitive on \mathbf{n} . If the interval [n/2, n] contains at least 3 primes, then there exists a subset $\Omega \subset \mathbf{n}$ of size $k \geq n/2 + 4$ such that B contains the full alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(\Omega)$.

For the sake of completeness, we reproduce the proof borrowed from [2].

Proof of Lemma 4.15. Since A is transitive but imprimitive, it is contained in a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbf{n})$ of the form $\operatorname{Sym}(d)\wr\operatorname{Sym}(n/d)$ for some proper divisor d of n. In particular no prime in the interval $[\frac{n+1}{2},n]$ divides the order of A. Therefore, the transitivity of B on $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbf{n})/A$ implies that any such prime divides |B|. The hypothesis made on n implies that $[\frac{n+1}{2},n]$ contains two distinct primes, say p<q. Let $\alpha,\beta\in B$ be two elements of order p and q. Then α and β act as p- and q-cycles on \mathbf{n} , whose supports intersect non-trivially. Let Ω be the union of their support. We have $|\Omega| \geq q+1 \geq p+3 \geq n/2+4$. The subgroup of B generated by α and β is transitive on Ω . Therefore it is also primitive, since it contains a p-cycle with $p>|\Omega|/2$. We infer from Proposition 4.14 that it contains $\operatorname{Alt}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Proposition 4.13. Throughout, we set $G = \text{Sym}(\mathbf{n})$.

We first claim that B has at most two orbits on \mathbf{n} , one of which is of size $\geq n-1$. If this were not the case, then B would stabilise a proper subset of \mathbf{n} for size m, with $2 \leq m \leq n/2$. It then follows that B is contained in a subgroup $M \leq G$ of the form $M \cong \operatorname{Sym}(m) \times \operatorname{Sym}(n-m)$. Since A is transitive on G/B by hypothesis, it follows that A is also transitive on G/M, which is naturally in one-to-one correspondence with the set of subsets of size m in \mathbf{n} . By hypothesis A is imprimitive on \mathbf{n} , and it is easy to see that it can therefore not act transitively on the set of unordered m-tuples in \mathbf{n} (recalling that $2 \leq m \leq n/2$). This proves the claim.

Let now $\mathbf{o} \subseteq \mathbf{n}$ be the largest *B*-orbit. By the claim we have either $\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{n}$ or $\mathbf{o} = \mathbf{n} \setminus \{x\}$ for some *B*-fixed point $x \in \mathbf{n}$. We then invoke Lemma 4.15, which has two consequences: the *B*-action on \mathbf{o} is primitive (since any invariant *B*-invariant partition on \mathbf{o} induces a $\operatorname{Stab}_B(\Omega)$ invariant partition on Ω), and it contains a 3-cycle. Therefore Proposition 4.14 implies that *B* contains $\operatorname{Alt}(\mathbf{o})$. This finishes the proof.

4.4.2 Cocompact subgroups of $\mathcal{O}_{d,k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{d,k}$.

Proof of Proposition 4.12. Set $O = \mathcal{O}_{d,k}$ and let H < O be a cocompact closed subgroup. We view O as an ascending chain of the compact open subgroups O_n defined as in [2], namely O_n consists of those elements represented by almost automorphisms of the form (A, A, φ) where A is the n-ball around the root of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. By compactness, there exists n_0 such that $O = O_n H$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

Let $H_n = O_n \cap H$. We have $O_n = O_{n_0}H_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let now $U_n \leq O_n$ be the closed normal subgroup consisting of those elements represented by almost automorphisms of the form (A, A, φ) where A is the n-ball around the root of $\mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ and φ stabilizes each connected component of the forest $\mathcal{T}_{d,k} \setminus A$. The quotient O_n/U_n is a symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(k_n)$ whose degree k_n tends to infinity with n. Let A_n (resp. B_n) denote the image of O_{n_0} (resp. H_n) in the quotient O_n/U_n , which we identity with $\operatorname{Sym}(k_n)$. We have $\operatorname{Sym}(k_n) = A_n B_n$. Moreover A_n is transitive but not primitive for any $n > n_0$. Proposition 4.13 implies that B_n contains $\operatorname{Alt}(k_n)$ or fixes a point and contains $\operatorname{Alt}(k_n - 1)$.

The first case implies that the image of $H \cap O_{n-1}$ is the full quotient $O_{n-1}/U_{n-1} \cong \text{Sym}(k_{n-1})$. If that happens for infinitely many values of n, then we have $(H \cap O_{n-1})U_{n-1} = \text{Sym}(k_{n-1})$.

 O_{n-1} for infinitely many n's, so that $HU_{n-1} = O$ for infinitely many n's. This means that H is dense in O, hence H = O since H is closed.

Otherwise, we deduce that H fixes a point $\xi \in \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ and a similar argument shows that H contains a dense subgroup of the stabilizer O_{ξ} . Thus $H = O_{\xi}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.9. Set $G = \mathcal{N}_{d,k}$ and let $H \leq G$ be a cocompact closed subgroup. Since $O = \mathcal{O}_{d,k}$ is open in G, it has open orbits in G/H, hence finitely many orbits, hence clopen orbits. In particular $OH/H \cong O/O \cap H$ is compact.

By Proposition 4.12, we have $O \cap H = O$ or $O \cap H = O_{\xi}$ for some $\xi \in \partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. The former case implies that H is open, hence of finite index, in G. Since G is simple (see [23] for the case where k = d + 1; in the general case, a similar argument can be applied, exploiting that O is topologically simple by [7, Rem. 6.8 and Lem. 6.9]), this implies H = G, and we are done in this case.

We assume henceforth that $O \cap H = O_{\varepsilon}$.

We next claim H fixes ξ . Indeed, there would otherwise exist $h \in H$ which does not fix ξ . We then see that H contains $\langle O_{\xi} \cup hO_{\xi}h^{-1} \rangle$. Since O is 2-transitive (in fact ∞ -transitive) on $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$, we infer that H is transitive on $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$. Therefore $G = G_{\xi}H$. Hence $G_{\xi}/G_{\xi} \cap H$ is homeomorphic to G/H, and is thus compact. Since $G_{\xi} \cap H$ contain $O_{\xi} = O \cap G_{\xi}$, it is relatively open in G_{ξ} . Therefore $G/H \cong G_{\xi}/G_{\xi} \cap H$ is finite. As before, this implies that H = G, contradicting that $O \cap H = O_{\xi}$.

This proves that H fixes ξ . We have $O_{\xi} \leq H \leq G_{\xi}$, so that H is relatively open in G_{ξ} . Since H is cocompact in G, it is cocompact in G_{ξ} , hence of finite index. The result follows.

For the last claim, it remains to observe that the stabiliser G_{ξ} is not unimodular, because G does not preserve any probability measure on $\partial \mathcal{T}_{d,k}$ by Lemma 4.1. Therefore, if H is unimodular, we must have H = G.

References

- [1] S. Adams and W. Ballmann. Amenable isometry groups of Hadamard spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 312(1):183–195, 1998.
- [2] U. Bader, P.-E. Caprace, T. Gelander, and S. Mozes. Simple groups without lattices. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 44(1):55–67, 2012.
- [3] B. Bekka and P. de la Harpe. Unitary representations of groups, duals, and characters, volume 250 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2020] ©2020.
- [4] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, and A. Valette. *Kazhdan's property (T)*, volume 11 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [5] N. Bourbaki. Éléments de mathématique. Fascicule XXIX. Livre VI: Intégration. Chapitre 7: Mesure de Haar. Chapitre 8: Convolution et représentations. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1306. Hermann, Paris, 1963.

- [6] E. Breuillard, M. Kalantar, M. Kennedy, and N. Ozawa. C*-simplicity and the unique trace property for discrete groups. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., 126:35–71, 2017.
- [7] P.-E. Caprace and T. De Medts. Simple locally compact groups acting on trees and their germs of automorphisms. *Transform. Groups*, 16(2):375–411, 2011.
- [8] P.-E. Caprace and J. Lécureux. Combinatorial and group-theoretic compactifications of buildings. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 61(2):619–672, 2011.
- [9] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod. Relative amenability. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 8(3):747–774, 2014.
- [10] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod. Future directions in locally compact groups: a tentative problem list. In New directions in locally compact groups, volume 447 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 131–144. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [11] P.-E. Caprace and N. Radu. Chabauty limits of simple groups acting on trees. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 19(4):1093–1120, 2020.
- [12] F. Dahmani, V. Guirardel, and D. Osin. Hyperbolically embedded subgroups and rotating families in groups acting on hyperbolic spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 245(1156):v+152, 2017.
- [13] P. de la Harpe. On simplicity of reduced C^* -algebras of groups. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 39(1):1-26, 2007.
- [14] J. Dixmier. Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations. Les Grands Classiques Gauthier-Villars. [Gauthier-Villars Great Classics]. Éditions Jacques Gabay, Paris, 1996. Reprint of the second (1969) edition.
- [15] J. M. G. Fell. Weak containment and induced representations of groups. II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 110:424–447, 1964.
- [16] M. Fraczyk and T. Gelander. Infinite volume and infinite injectivity radius, 2021.
- [17] A. Furman. On minimal strongly proximal actions of locally compact groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 136:173–187, 2003.
- [18] H. Furstenberg. Boundary theory and stochastic processes on homogeneous spaces. In Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972), pages 193–229, 1973.
- [19] L. u. Garncarek and N. Lazarovich. The Neretin groups. In New directions in locally compact groups, volume 447 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 131–144. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [20] J. Glimm. Type I C^* -algebras. Ann. of Math. (2), 73:572–612, 1961.
- [21] C. Jordan. Sur la limite de transitivité des groupes non alternés. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 1:40-71, 1872/73.
- [22] M. Kalantar and M. Kennedy. Boundaries of reduced C*-algebras of discrete groups. J. Reine Angew. Math., 727:247–267, 2017.

- [23] C. Kapoudjian. Simplicity of Neretin's group of spheromorphisms. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (*Grenoble*), 49(4):1225–1240, 1999.
- [24] M. Kennedy. An intrinsic characterization of C^* -simplicity. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 53(5):1105–1119, 2020.
- [25] A. Le Boudec. C*-simplicity and the amenable radical. Invent. Math., 209(1):159–174, 2017.
- [26] A. Le Boudec and N. Matte Bon. Subgroup dynamics and C*-simplicity of groups of homeomorphisms. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 51(3):557–602, 2018.
- [27] A. Le Boudec and N. Matte Bon. A commutator lemma for confined subgroups and applications to groups acting on rooted trees. arXiv:2006.08677, 2020.
- [28] A. Le Boudec and T. Tsankov. On a generalization of a theorem of Frolík. In preparation.
- [29] G. W. Mackey. On induced representations of groups. Amer. J. Math., 73:576–592, 1951.
- [30] G. A. Margulis. Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups, volume 17 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [31] N. Monod. Gelfand pairs admit an Iwasawa decomposition. *Math. Ann.*, 378(1-2):605–611, 2020.
- [32] V. Nekrashevych. Finitely presented groups associated with expanding maps. In *Geometric* and cohomological group theory, volume 444 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 115–171. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [33] Y. A. Neretin. On spherical unitary representations of groups of spheromorphisms of bruhattits trees. Preprint, arXiv:1906.12197, 2019.
- [34] V. P. Platonov. Locally projectively nilpotent subgroups and nilelements in topological groups. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 30:1257–1274, 1966.
- [35] D. J. S. Robinson. A course in the theory of groups, volume 80 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1996.
- [36] H. Wielandt. Finite permutation groups. Translated from the German by R. Bercov. Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [37] T. S. Wu and Y. K. Yu. Compactness properties of topological groups. Michigan Math. J., 19:299–313, 1972.
- [38] T. Zheng. Neretin groups admit no non-trivial invariant random subgroups, 2019.